

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/620,884	07/16/2003	Marvin I. Fredberg	RAY-132J	9093
7590 07/17/2006		EXAMINER		
Iandiorio & Teska			SINGH, ARTI R	
260 Bear Hill Road Waltham, MA 02451-1018			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1771	
			DATE MAILED: 07/17/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	_					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/620,884	FREDBERG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ms. Arti Singh	1771				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<del>_</del> '					
,-	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are rejected.	,					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b)☐ objected to by the	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · ·					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached Oπice	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ol>						
2. Certified copies of the priority document						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ad.				
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	,u.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	,, ,				

Application/Control Number: 10/620,884 Page 2

Art Unit: 1771

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn. All previously made rejections are now withdrawn in light of Applicant's remarks and accompanying Declaration, which is acknowledged and has been placed in the file. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-35 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 2004/0151865 A1 issued to Howland in view of USPN 5357726 issued to Effenberger et al.
- 4. Howland discloses making high strength fabrics, which may be used in making radomes [0002, 0003]. Said fabrics can be made from VECTRAN™ [0013]. The Examiner is equating these fibers to be the same fibers that polyester-polyacrylate fibers desired by Applicant. Said fabrics may be woven, knitted and made into composites having several layers [0028]. Said composite can then be made to any desired length or width to form a laminated fabric structure. The fibers or fabrics may be joined together by thermoplastic resin, such as urethanes, and may penetrate into the fibers bundles. In paragraph 0041 onwards the application teaches that the fabric composite may be additionally coated or joined with

Application/Control Number: 10/620,884

Art Unit: 1771

various substances. Howland does not expressively suggest that this layer is hydrophobic in nature. This deficiency is remedied by the teachings of Effenberger.

Effenberger et al teach flexible reinforced textile composites which include an outer hydrophobic protective film layer (abstract). Said film layer comprises at least one or more films, at least one of which comprises a TFE polymer, preferably PTFE, or TFE, HFP or VF2. These films may be applied by any know technique in the art such as melt extrusion, casting, skiving, and paste extrusion (column 4). A person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have found it obvious to have made the outermost layer of the composite of Howland to be a waterproof layer. One would have been motivated to do this as (as shown in column 3, lines 5-11) to create an end product which has the ability to resist the deleterious effects of liquid water.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ms. Arti Singh whose telephone number is 571-272-1483. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T 9-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on 571-272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/620,884 Page 4

Art Unit: 1771

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ms. Arti Singh Primary Examiner Art Unit 1771

Ars